I	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54	Filed 07/30/18 Page 1 of 36		
1	Robert A. Weikert (Bar No. 121146) rweikert@nixonpeabody.com			
2	Dawn N. Valentine (Bar No. 206486) dvalentine@nixonpeabody.com			
3	NIXON PEABODY LLP One Embarcadero Center			
4	San Francisco, California 94111-3600 Tel: (415) 984-8200			
5	Fax: (415) 984-8200			
6	Devid I May (appearance pro has vise)			
7	David L. May (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) <u>dmay@nixonpeabody.com</u>			
8	Jennette E. Wiser (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) jwiser@nixonpeabody.com NIXON PEABODY LLP			
9	799 9th Street NW			
10	Washington, DC 20001-4501 Tel: (202) 585-8000 Fax: (202) 585-8080			
11	Attorneys for Stardock Systems, Inc.			
12	Anorneys for staraock systems, Inc.			
13	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION			
16	OAKLAN			
17	STARDOCK SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No.: 17-cv-07025-SBA		
18	Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-		
19		DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO		
20	VS.	DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-		
21	PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK FORD,	CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM		
22	Defendants/Counter-Claimants.			
23	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM			
24				
25				
26	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Stardo	ock Systems, Inc. ("Stardock"), by and through its		
27	counsel, responds as follows to Defendants an	d Counter-Claimants Paul Reiche III's ("Reiche")		
28		CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA		
		IDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE 'ER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM		

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Flied 07/30/18 Page 2 01 36
1	and Robert Frederick Ford's ("Ford") (collectively, "Defendants") Amended Counterclaim.
2	INTRODUCTION
3	1. Stardock denies Defendants' allegation that Stardock is infringing on their alleged
4	copyrights to the games or engaging in any form of unfair competition. Stardock further denies
5	Defendants' allegation that they have the exclusive rights to make derivative works from the Star
6	Control and Star Control II games. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
7	belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 1 of the Amended
8 9	
10	Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.
11	2. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
12	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 2 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
13	denies the allegations.
14	3. Stardock admits that, at the very least, it acquired the rights and registration for the
15	STAR CONTROL trademark (U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,046,036) and the Star Control 3
16	copyright (U.S. Copyright Registration No. PA 799-000) from Atari in 2013. Except as expressly
17	admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 3 of the
18	Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.
19 20	4. Stardock admits that it has attempted to resolve this matter informally with
20 21	Defendants and decided to file this suit as a result of the parties being unable to reach an agreement.
21	Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations asserted in
23	Paragraph 4 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.
24	
25	PARTIES
26	5. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Amended Counterclaim.
27	6. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Amended Counterclaim.
28	2 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4.17-CV-07025-SBA Document 54 Flied 07/30/18 Page 3 01 30
1	7. Stardock admits that it is a Michigan corporation with a principal place of business
2	in Plymouth, Michigan and formerly had a location in Sunnyvale, California. Stardock lacks
3	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations
4	asserted in Paragraph 7 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.
5	
6	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
7	8. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Amended Counterclaim.
8 9	9. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Amended Counterclaim, but
9 10	denies that it engaged in any wrongdoing or unlawful conduct.
11	10. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Counterclaim, but
12	denies that it engaged in any wrongdoing or unlawful conduct.
13	
14	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
15	11. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Amended Counterclaim, but
16	denies that it engaged in any wrongdoing or unlawful conduct.
17	FACTUAL BACKGROUND
18	
19	Reiche and Ford's Creation and Development of Star Control and Star Control II
20	12. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
21	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 12 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
22	denies the allegations.
23 24	13. Stardock admits that Accolade and Reiche entered into a License Agreement with
25	an effective date of October 7, 1988, although Stardock denies that Reiche owned the rights that he
26	purported to license pursuant to the 1988 Agreement. Stardock also admits that the 1988 License
27	Agreement is shown in Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim and reflects the terms set forth in
28	3 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	4828-0352-8558.3 PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 4 of 36

the agreement. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 13 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.

14. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
and all other allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.

9 15. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
 10 Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
 11 and all other allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
 12 interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.

16. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
and all other allegations of Paragraph 16 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.

18 17. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
19 Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
20 and all other allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
22 interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.

18. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
 Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
 and all other allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
 interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.

28

4828-0352-8558.3

27

1

2

3

4

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 5 of 36

	Case 4.17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Flied 07/30/18 Page 5 01 30
1	19. Stardock asserts that the language of the 1988 License Agreement is set forth in
2	Exhibit 1 to the Amended Counterclaim. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any
3	and all other allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Amended Counterclaim, including Defendants'
4	interpretation and construction of the agreement, in their entirety.
5	20. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
6	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 20 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
7	
8	denies the allegations.
9	21. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
10	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 21 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
11	denies the allegations.
12 13	22. Stardock admits that other individuals were involved in the development and
13	creation of Star Control I and Star Control II. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient
15	to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 22 of the
16	Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.
17	23. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
18	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 23 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
19	denies the allegations.
20	24. Stardock admits that Star Control I and Star Control II have become popular over
21	
22	the last couple of decades in the video game community. Stardock also admits that the following
23	link contains the content associated with it: <u>https://kotaku.com/the-game-that-won-our-classic-pc-</u>
24	games-list-if-it-ha-1349952997. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
25	belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 24 of the Amended
26	Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.
27	
28	5 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4.17	-CV-07025-SBA	Document 54		18 Page 6 01 36
1	25.	Stardock lacks kn	owledge or info	rmation suffic	eient to form a belief about the truth
2	of any and all	allegations asserted	l in Paragraph 2	5 of the Amen	ded Counterclaim, and on that basis
3	denies the all	egations.			
4	26.	Stardock admits t	hat Exhibit 2 to	the Amende	d Counterclaim shows an unsigned
5	document titl				veen Accolade, Inc. and Paul Reiche
6			-	-	m a belief about the truth of any and
7		_			
8 9		-	Paragraph 20 C	i the America	ed Counterclaim, and on that basis
9 10	denies such a	llegations.			
11		Star Control 3 and	l 4 and Expirat	ion of the 198	8 License Agreement
12	27.	Stardock admits t	hat Exhibit 3 to	the Amende	d Counterclaim shows a document
13	titled "Adder	ndum No. 2 to Lice	ense Agreemen	t Between Ac	colade, Inc. and Paul Reiche III".
14	Stardock lack	ts knowledge or info	ormation suffici	ent to form a	belief about the truth of any and all
15	other allegati	ons asserted in Para	graph 27 of the	Amended Co	unterclaim, and on that basis denies
16	such allegation	ons.			
17	28.	Stardock admits th	hat Defendants'	Amended Co	unterclaim defines the "Classic Star
18 19	Control Gam	es" as Star Control,	Star Control II,	and Star Cont	rol III, collectively.
20	29.				tient to form a belief about the truth
21			-		ded Counterclaim, and on that basis
22	denies the all	C	<i>-</i>	<i>y</i> or une r mien	
23	30.	0	owladge or infe	rmation suffic	tient to form a belief about the truth
24			-		
25		-	i in Paragraph 3	0 of the Amen	ded Counterclaim, and on that basis
26	denies the all	-			
27	31.	Stardock lacks kn	owledge or info	rmation suffic	eient to form a belief about the truth
28	4828-0352-8558.3			6	CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	DEFEN				ER AND AFFIRMATIVE 5' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 31 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.

32. Stardock admits that Exhibit 4 to the Amended Counterclaim shows a document titled "Addendum No. 3 to License Agreement Between Accolade, Inc. and Paul Reiche III". Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 32 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

9 33. Stardock admits that the purported language from Paragraph 1.5 of Addendum No.
10 3 is set forth in Exhibit 4 to the Amended Counterclaim and that the document speaks for itself.
11 Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all
12 other allegations asserted in Paragraph 33 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies
14 such allegations.

34. Stardock admits that the purported language from Paragraph 4.1 of Addendum No.
 3 is set forth in Exhibit 4 to the Amended Counterclaim. Stardock lacks knowledge or information
 sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 34
 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

19 35. Stardock admits that the purported language from Paragraph 7 of Addendum No. 3
20 is set forth in Exhibit 4 to the Amended Counterclaim. Stardock lacks knowledge or information
22 sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 35
23 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

36. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 36 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that
basis denies the allegations.

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

	Case 4.17-CV-07025-SBA Document 54 Flied 07/50/16 Faye 8 01 50
1	37. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
2	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 37 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
3	denies the allegations.
4	Accolade's Successors' Abandonment and Fraudulent Renewal
5	of the Registration for the Star Control Trademark
6 7	38. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
8	of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 38 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
9	denies the allegations.
10	39. Stardock admits that on November 25, 2002, Accolade assigned U.S. Trademark
11	Registration No. 2,046,036 for STAR CONTROL to Infogrames, and on March 17, 2003,
12	
13	Infogrames filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") a Declaration of
14	Use and Incontestability along with a specimen of use showing use of the mark of STAR
15	CONTROL. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of
16	any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 39 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that
17 18	basis denies such allegations.
10 19	40. Stardock admits that Infogrames was renamed Atari. Stardock lacks knowledge or
20	information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in
21	Paragraph 40 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.
22	41. Stardock admits that on September 18, 2007, Atari filed with the USPTO a
23	Declaration of Use in Commerce and Application for Renewal of Registration for U.S. Trademark
24	Registration No. 2,046,036 for STAR CONTROL. Stardock lacks knowledge or information
25	sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegation that as part of a valuation of its IP in late
26	2006, Atari reported that it made no sales of Star Control from at least 2001 through November
27	
28	4828-0352-8558.3 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 9 of 36

2006, and thus, the Star Control trademark was deemed to have no value at that time, and on that
 basis denies the allegation. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other
 allegations asserted in Paragraph 41 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

Reiche and Ford's Continued Development of the Star Control Universe Through The Ur-Quan Masters and Agreement with Atari to Resume Sales

of the Classic Star Control Games

8 42. Stardock denies that Reiche and Ford regained all rights to "their games," including
 9 Star Control I and Star Control II. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
 10 belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 42 of the Amended
 11 Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

12

5

6

7

43. Stardock admits that Defendants' Amended Counterclaim define the "Reiche and
Ford's Star Control Games" as Star Control, Star Control II, including The Ur-Quan Masters, and
Reiche's Preexisting Characters used in Star Control 3, collectively. Stardock denies the accuracy
of this definition and further denies any suggestion or assertion that Reiche and Ford own any
intellectual property in the alleged aforesaid defined Reiche and Ford's Star Control Games.

18 44. Stardock denies Reiche's and Ford's suggested possession and ownership of Star 19 Control, Star Control II, including The Ur-Quan Masters, and Reiche's Preexisting Characters used 20 in Star Control 3. Stardock admits that the Classic Star Control Games have become popular over 21 the last couple of decades in the video game community and have acquired a reputation and 22 goodwill among the purchasing public. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form 23 24 a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 44 of the Amended 25 Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.



45. Stardock admits that Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Amended Counterclaim show copyright

27 28

9

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 10 of 36

registration certificates for the works titled "Star Control II", and which purport to list Reiche and
 Ford as the claimants. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about
 the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 45 of the Amended Counterclaim,
 and on that basis denies such allegations.

46. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 46 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
denies the allegations.

9 47. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
10 of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 47 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
11 denies the allegations.

48. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 48 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
denies the allegations.

49. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 49 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
denies the allegations.

19 50. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
20 of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 50 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
22 denies the allegations.

51. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 51 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis
denies the allegations.

52. Amended Counterclaim Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

27 28

4828-0352-8558.3

26

12

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

10

a belief about the truth of the allegations asserted in Paragraph 52 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

53. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations asserted in Paragraph 53 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

Stardock Purportedly Buys Star Control Trademark and Star Control 3 Copyright

54. Stardock admits that in or around 2013, Atari filed for bankruptcy and put its assets 8 9 up for auction, including the Star Control Franchise. Stardock denies that only Star Control 3 was 10 included in the Star Control Franchise put up for auction. Stardock admits that Atari sold its Star 11 Control Assets to Stardock under a Purchase Agreement dated July 18, 2013 and that the Purchase 12 Agreement defined the Purchased Assets as including the Intellectual Property identified on 13 Schedule 1.01(a), the contracts listed on Schedule 2.01(b), and certain causes of action related to 14 the Intellectual Property. Stardock denies the allegation that any other assets and properties of Atari 15 16 were specifically excluded from the Purchased Assets.

17 55. Stardock admits that Exhibit 5 to the Amended Counterclaim shows the Purchase
18 Agreement between Atari and Stardock. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to
19 form a belief about the truth of the allegation that Schedule 1.01(a) and Schedule 2.01(b) to the
20 Purchase Agreement were not attached to the filing with the bankruptcy court, and on that basis
21 denies such allegation. Stardock denies the allegation that any intellectual property or contract
23 rights were not transferred to Stardock.

56. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 56 to the Amended Counterclaim.

57. Stardock denies that the transfer of Atari's Digital Distribution Agreement with GOG Limited and Accolade's License Agreement with Reiche pertained only to Star Control 3.

27 28

24

25

26

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 12 of 36

Stardock admits the other allegations in Paragraph 57 of the Amended Counterclaim.

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

58. Stardock denies the allegation that Atari did not purport to sell, nor did it even own or have the right to sell, any rights to the alleged Reiche and Ford's Star Control Games to Stardock, including Reiche's alleged Preexisting Characters used in Star Control 3. Stardock lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 58 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

21

Reiche and Ford Repeatedly Reject Stardock's Requests to License Reiche and Ford's Star Control Games for Use in Stardock's New Game

10 59. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 59 of the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that the statements made in the referenced July 22, 2013 email are being presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made, inter alia, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading.

16 60. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 60 of the Amended Counterclaim, but 17 asserts that the statements made by Mr. Wardell in the referenced July 23, 2013 email are being 18 presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the 19 representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock 20 now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading.

61. Stardock admits that on or around July 24, 2013, it announced its acquisition and 22 plan to release a new game inspired by Star Control II. Stardock admits that at that time, the new 23 24 game was expected to be more of a revisit to Star Control II than a continuation. Stardock denies 25 the allegation that Mr. Wardell "admitted" that Atari doesn't own the copyright to Star Control I 26 and II and that in order to make a Star Control II HD, a license from Reiche is needed. Stardock 27

28

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 13 of 36

1 asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being presented out of context and were 2 based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made, 3 inter alia, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, 4 and/or misleading. 5 62. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell contacted Reiche and Ford on July 30, 2013 via 6 email and suggested that Reiche and Ford work with Stardock on the development of Star Control: 7 8 Origins, but denies any and all of the other allegations in Paragraph 62 of the Amended 9 Counterclaim in their entirety. 10 63. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth 11 of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 63 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis 12 denies the allegations. 13 64. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth 14 of any and all allegations asserted in Paragraph 64 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis 15 16 denies the allegations. 17 65. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 65 of the Amended Counterclaim. 18 66. Stardock admits that later that day (September 16, 2013), it acknowledged Reiche's 19 and Ford's response, and that Stardock offered to sell the Star Control IP it acquired from Atari to 20 Defendants. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all of the other 21 allegations in Paragraph 66 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety. 22 67. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 67 of the Amended Counterclaim. 23 24 68. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 68 of the Amended Counterclaim. 25 26 27 28 13 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA 4828-0352-8558.3 PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Stardock Begins Making False Statements About Reiche and Ford's Involvement in Its New Game, and Asks Reiche and Ford Again Repeatedly to License Their Star Control Games, Which They Refuse

69. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell participated in an interview on January 3, 2014.Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 69 of the Amended Counterclaim, and denies that Mr. Wardell made any false or misleading statements.

9 70. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 70 of the Amended Counterclaim, but
10 asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being presented out of context and were
11 based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made,
12 *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated,
13 and/or misleading.

71. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 71 of the Amended Counterclaim.

16 72. Stardock admits that, upon information and belief, it alleges in its Second Amended 17 Complaint that the Atari-GOG Agreement expired on March 22, 2015 and that all subsequent sales 18 of the Classic Star Control Games on GOG infringed its trademarks and copyrights accordingly. 19 Stardock admits that it entered into a separate agreement with GOG after the expiration of the Atari-20 GOG Agreement. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the 21 truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 72 of the Amended Counterclaim, and 22 on that basis denies the allegations. 23

24 73. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 73 of the Amended Counterclaim, but
 25 asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being presented out of context and were
 26 based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made,
 27

4828-0352-8558.3

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

inter alia, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, 2 and/or misleading.

74. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 74 of the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading.

9 75. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 75 of the Amended Counterclaim, but 10 asserts that any such statement made by Mr. Wardell is being presented out of context and was 11 based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made, 12 inter alia, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, 13 and/or misleading. 14

76. Stardock admits the allegation regarding Mr. Wardell's December 3, 2015 email. 15 16 Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell made the quoted statements with respect to the use of basic and 17 unprotectable concepts and ideas from Star Control in Galactic Civilizations. Stardock denies the 18 allegation that Stardock had already "borrowed" heavily from Star Control II for the Galactic 19 Civilizations game.

77. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell sent an email to Defendants on October 12, 2016, 21 which speaks for itself. Defendants have misstated the contents of that email in Paragraph 77 of 22 the Amended Counterclaim, and further any such statements made by Mr. Wardell in the email are 23 24 being presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the 25 representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock 26 now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading. 27

4828-0352-8558.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

20

28

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 16 of 36

1 78. Stardock admits that Star Control: Origins and Galactic Civilizations provide 2 modification tools that allow users to build and create things in the game. Stardock admits that on 3 July 28, 2017, Mr. Wardell asked Reiche and Ford if, in light of the upcoming 25th anniversary of 4 Star Control II, they would do "an interview regarding your work on Star Control 1/2, the Ur-Quan 5 Masters, past, present and future of your universe." Stardock lacks knowledge or information 6 sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegation that Reiche and Ford later learned that 7 many ships and alien races from the alleged Reiche and Ford's Star Control Games appeared in 8 9 Galactic Civilizations, and on that basis denies such allegations. Based on the information that is 10 now available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission 11 and/or license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid 12 and enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that 13 allegation. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all of the other allegations 14 in Paragraph 78 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety. 15 16 79. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 79 of the Amended Counterclaim. 17 80. Except as otherwise denied below, Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 80 18 of the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being 19 presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the 20 representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock 21 now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading. Based on the information that is now 22 available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission and/or 23 24 license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid and 25 enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that 26 allegation. 27

4828-0352-8558.3

28

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 17 of 36

1 81. Except as otherwise denied below, Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 81 2 of the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being 3 presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the 4 representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock 5 now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading. Based on the information that is now 6 available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission and/or 7 8 license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid and 9 enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that 10 allegation.

82. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth
of the allegation that Reiche or Ford own any intellectual property in Star Control I and Star Control
II, and on that basis denies the allegation. Except as otherwise denied below, Stardock admits the
other allegations in Paragraph 82 of the Amended Counterclaim. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford
allege that they own any valid and enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star
Control Games, Stardock denies that allegation.

18 83. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth 19 of the allegation that the 1988 License Agreement terminated and expired in 2001, and on that basis 20 denies the allegation. Stardock admits that on October 4, 2017, it advised Reiche and Ford that it 21 had a license to use Reiche's and Ford's alleged intellectual property under the 1988 License 22 Agreement. Based on the information that is now available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position 23 24 that there was no need to seek such permission and/or license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as 25 Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid and enforceable intellectual property rights in the 26 Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that allegation. 27

28

4828-0352-8558.3

17

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 18 of 36

84. Except as otherwise denied below, Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 84 of the Amended Counterclaim. Based on the information that is now available to Stardock, it is now Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission and/or license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid and enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that allegation.

85. Stardock denies that Mr. Wardell has made any admissions via his communications 7 with respect to any alleged Reiche and Ford intellectual property alleged in Paragraph 83 of the 8 9 Amended Counterclaim. Except as otherwise denied below, Stardock admits the existence of the 10 communication as set forth in Paragraph 85 of the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that any 11 such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being presented out of context and were based on Mr. 12 Wardell's understandings at the time and the representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 13 1988 License Agreement, including Reiche and Ford's representations and warranties with respect 14 to the alleged intellectual property they own related to the Classic Star Control Games, which 15 16 Stardock now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading. Based on the information that is 17 now available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission 18 and/or license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid 19 and enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that 20 allegation. 21

86. Stardock admits the existence of the communication as set forth in Paragraph 86 of
the Amended Counterclaim, but asserts that any such statements made by Mr. Wardell are being
presented out of context and were based on Mr. Wardell's understandings at the time and the
representations and warranties made, *inter alia*, in the 1988 License Agreement, which Stardock
now believes to be false, misstated, and/or misleading. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 19 of 36

they own any valid and enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that allegation. Stardock lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 84 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies such allegations.

Stardock admits that on October 7, 2017, Reiche and Ford responded and claimed 87. 6 that they had received no royalties for many years and therefore the 1988 License Agreement had 7 expired. Stardock also admits that Reiche and Ford purported to advise Mr. Wardell that 8 9 Stardock's planned use of "Super Melee" from Star Control II in Star Control: Origins was not 10 authorized. Inasmuch as the allegations within Paragraph 87 of the Amended Counterclaim suggest 11 that Reiche and Ford own any valid and enforceable intellectual property as it pertains to the Classic 12 Star Control Games such that Stardock would have been required to seek Reiche and Ford's 13 permission and/or license to use the alleged intellectual property, such allegations are denied. 14

15 88. Stardock admits that it responded later that day, but denies any and all other
16 allegations in Paragraph 88 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

17 89. Stardock admits that on October 9, 2017, Reiche and Ford announced their plans to
18 create and develop a new game that would be a sequel to The Ur-Quan Masters to be called "Ghosts
19 of the Precursors" and using the STAR CONTROL mark and UR-QUAN MASTERS mark.

Stardock's Copyright and Trademark Infringement and Other Unfair Competition

90. Stardock admits that it has sold the Classic Star Control Games through Steam.
 Stardock also admits that the screenshot from Stardock's website depicted in Paragraph 90 of the
 Amended Counterclaim shows links to buy these games through Steam, and shows Stardock's
 lawful use of THE UR-QUAN MASTERS mark. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock
 denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 88 of the Amended Counterclaim, including the

28

4828-0352-8558.3

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 20 of 36

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 20 01 36
L	suggestion that Reiche and Ford own any rights (intellectual property rights or otherwise) in and to
2	the Classic Star Control games such that Stardock would have been required to obtain Reiche's and
;	Ford's permission and/or license with respect to the sale thereof.
-	91. Stardock admits the allegation that Reiche and Ford sent Steam a notice of
	infringement and request to remove the Classic Star Control Games. Except as expressly admitted
	herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 91 of the Amended Counterclaim
	in their entirety.
1	92. Stardock admits the allegation that it branded "Star Control 1+2" on GOG's website
	to be called "Star Control: The Ur-Quan Masters."
	93. Stardock admits the allegation that it sent GOG a counter-notice and on that basis
	GOG resumed selling the Classic Star Control games. Except as expressly admitted herein,
	Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 93 of the Amended Counterclaim in their
	entirety.
	94. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 94 of the Amended Counterclaim.
,	95. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 95 of the Amended Counterclaim.
5	96. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 96 of the Amended Counterclaim.
	97. Stardock admits that in November 2017, it released a beta version of Star Control:
	Origins. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in
	Paragraph 97 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.
	98. Stardock denies that the screenshot in Paragraph 98 of the Amended Counterclaim
Ļ	shows the ships as used in Star Control I or Star Control II. Based on the information that is now
5	available to Stardock, it is Stardock's position that there was no need to seek such permission and/or
5	license from Reiche and Ford. Inasmuch as Reiche and Ford allege that they own any valid and
7	
3	4828-0352-8558.3 20 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

enforceable intellectual property rights in the Classic Star Control Games, Stardock denies that 2 allegation.

99. Stardock admits that Star Control: Origins provides modification tools that allow users to build and create things in the game. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 99 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

100. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 100 of the Amended Counterclaim.

101. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 101 of the Amended Counterclaim.

9 102. Stardock admits the allegation that it has described Star Control: Origins as a 10 "reboot" to Star Control II. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other 11 allegations in Paragraph 102 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

103. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race or lore artwork allegedly 13 owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or 14 Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race or lore artwork from Star Control I or Star 15 16 Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control 17 Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or 18 license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: 19 Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise. Stardock admits all other allegations in Paragraph 20 103 of the Amended Counterclaim. 21

104. Stardock admits that Star Control: Origins refers to the Precursors as an ancient, 22 advanced alien species that explored the universe long ago but then vanished. Stardock denies any 23 24 allegation that it has copied alien race or lore artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without 25 Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any 26 alleged alien race or lore artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that 27

28

4828-0352-8558.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

21

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 22 of 36

Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise.
Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 104 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

Stardock admits the allegation that players of Star Control: Origins will travel to and 105. 7 explore new star systems and planets and encounter various alien species via hyperspace travel. 8 9 Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or 10 Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the 11 owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also 12 denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require 13 Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from 14 the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its website, marketing 15 16 or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in 17 Paragraph 105 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

18 106. Stardock admits the allegation that players of Star Control: Origins have the ability 19 to search for Tzo Crystal and earn or collect resource units to exchange for things. Stardock denies 20 any allegation that it has copied alien race artwork or any other artwork allegedly owned by Reiche 21 or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the 22 owners of any alleged alien race artwork or other artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. 23 24 Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that 25 would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use 26 material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its 27

4828-0352-8558.3

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 23 of 36

website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 106 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

107. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell stated the quoted language in Paragraph 107 of the Amended Counterclaim on Stardock's website. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 107 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

108. Stardock admits that it conducted an informal survey among potential purchasers of 15 16 Star Control: Origins concerning which aliens to include from the classic Star Control games in the 17 new game and that Mr. Wardell stated that a number of the alien races that appeared in Star Control 18 II would also appear in Star Control: Origins. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied 19 alien race artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or 20 license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star 21 Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic 22 Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's 23 24 permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with 25 its Star Control: Origins or website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, 26 Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 108 of the Amended Counterclaim in 27

28

1 their entirety.

2 109. Stardock admits that a ship named the "Earthling Cruiser" will appear in Star 3 Control: Origins. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied space ship artwork allegedly 4 owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or 5 Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged space ship artwork from Star Control I or Star Control 6 II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games 7 8 that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to 9 use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its 10 website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all 11 other allegations in Paragraph 109 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety. 12

110. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell has stated that Star Control: Origins will feature 13 aliens from the classic Star Control games. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien 14 race artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or 15 16 license or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star 17 Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic 18 Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's 19 permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with 20 Star Control: Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, 21 Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 110 of the Amended Counterclaim in 22 their entirety. 23

111. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell has stated that Star Control: Origins will include
 an alien species called "Arilou." Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race artwork
 allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that

4828-0352-8558.3

28

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 25 of 36

Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 111 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

112. Stardock admits that Mr. Wardell has stated that Star Control: Origins will include 8 9 an alien species called "Melnorme." Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race 10 artwork allegedly owned by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license 11 or that Reiche or Ford are in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star Control I 12 or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star 13 Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission 14 and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with Star Control: 15 16 Origins or its website, marketing or otherwise. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock 17

18 113. Stardock denies the allegation that it has extensively used material from the alleged 19 Reiche and Ford's Star Control Games on Stardock's website and in marketing both the Classic 20 Star Control Games and Star Control: Origins. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any 21 rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's 22 and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in 23 24 connection with its website, marketing or otherwise.

denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 112 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

25 114. Stardock denies any allegation that it has copied alien race artwork allegedly owned 26 by Reiche or Ford without Reiche's or Ford's permission and/or license or that Reiche or Ford are 27

4828-0352-8558.3

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 26 of 36

in fact the owners of any alleged alien race artwork from Star Control I or Star Control II. Stardock also denies that Reiche and Ford have any rights in the Classic Star Control Games that would require Stardock to have obtained Reiche's and/or Ford's permission and/or license to use material from the Classic Star Control Games in connection with its website, marketing or otherwise. Stardock admits the other allegations in Paragraph 114 of the Amended Counterclaim.

Stardock admits that the parties were engaged in extensive settlement discussions 115. 7 from October-December 2017. Stardock denies that it was the party that broke off those settlement 8 9 negotiations. Stardock admits that it filed suit against Reiche and Ford in mid-December. Stardock 10 admits that it owns U.S. Copyright Registration No. PA 799-000. Except as expressly admitted 11 herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 1115 of the Amended 12 Counterclaim in their entirety. 13

Stardock's Fraudulent Claims to Trademark Rights to Prevent Reiche and Ford from Making Their Own Derivative Work

16 116. Stardock admits the allegation that it has recently filed a series of U.S. trademark 17 applications for the names of many of the aliens and features used in Star Control I and Star Control 18 II. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 19 116 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

117. Stardock admits that it contends that Stardock has used the THE UR-QUAN 21 MASTER mark since at least August 10, 2013, and asserts that any known period of non-use of 22 the mark is excusable non-use with the intent to resume use of the mark. Consequently, Stardock 23 24 denies Defendants' allegation that the above-referenced contention is false. Stardock admits the 25 other allegations in Paragraph 117 of the Amended Counterclaim.

26 27

118.

4828-0352-8558.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

20

28

Stardock admits that it claims that THE UR-QUAN MASTERS mark was used as a

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

26

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 27 of 36

source identifier by Accolade and then Atari and that Stardock acquired the rights in and to THE UR-QUAN MASTERS mark from Atari and has since continued to use the mark in its marketing and sales of the classic Star Control games until recently. Stardock also admits that it claims that Defendants' use of THE UR-QUAN MASTERS mark infringes its rights in and to the mark. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 118 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

119. Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 119 of the Amended Counterclaim.

9 120. Stardock admits that it claims that the marks asserted in Paragraph 119 in the 10 Amended Counterclaim were used as source identifiers by Accolade and then Atari and that 11 Stardock acquired the rights in and to such marks from Atari and has since continued to use the 12 marks in its marketing and sales of the classic Star Control games until recently. Stardock also 13 admits that it claims that Defendants' use of the marks infringes its rights in and to the marks. 14 Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 120 15 16 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

17

121. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 121 of the Amended Counterclaim.

Stardock admits the allegations in Paragraph 123 of the Amended Counterclaim.

18 122. Stardock admits that it has filed U.S. trademark applications for the marks asserted
19 in Paragraph 119 in the Amended Counterclaim on an intent to use basis. Except as expressly
20 admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 122 of the Amended
22 Counterclaim in their entirety.

123.

4828-0352-8558.3

124. Stardock admits that in its Notice of Opposition against the application for the mark GHOSTS OF THE PRECURSORS, Stardock alleges that has acquired from Atari all "product names/titles, sub-names/titles, cover art, characters (*e.g.*, aliens), alien race names, character names,

27 28

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

27

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 28 of 36

spaceship names and spaceship designs" from the classic Star Control games, including but not limited to the mark PRECURSORS. Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 124 of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

Stardock admits that in its Notice of Opposition against the application for the mark
GHOSTS OF THE PRECURSORS, Stardock alleges that the mark PRECURSORS has been in use
in commerce through sales of the games by Accolade and then Atari and subsequently, Stardock.
Except as expressly admitted herein, Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 125
of the Amended Counterclaim in their entirety.

10 126. Stardock admits that in its Notice of Opposition against the application for the mark
11 GHOSTS OF THE PRECURSORS, Stardock alleges that Reiche's and Ford's use of the mark
12 GHOSTS OF THE PRECURSORS would be confusingly similar to Stardock's purported mark(s),
13 create a likelihood of confusion, and damage Stardock. Stardock lacks knowledge or information
15 sufficient to form a belief about the truth of any and all other allegations asserted in Paragraph 126
16 of the Amended Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.

17 127. Stardock admits the allegations that on November 27, 2017, it filed U.S. Trademark
18 Application Serial No. 87/697,919, and on February 22, 2018, it filed U.S. Trademark Application
19 Serial No. 87/807,839, both for the mark STAR CONTROL. Except as expressly admitted herein,
20 Stardock denies any and all other allegations in Paragraph 127 of the Amended Counterclaim in
21 their entirety.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Copyright Infringement – 17 U.S.C. § 501)

128. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
1 through 127 above as if set forth in full.

28

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

28

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4:17-	cv-07025-SBA	Document 54	Filed 07/30/18	Page 29 of 36
1	129.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 129 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
2	130.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 130 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
3	131.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 131 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
4 5	132.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 132 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
5 6	133.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 133 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
7					
8				JSE OF ACTION	
9		(Declarate	ory Judgment re	e: Ownership of (Copyrights)
10	134.	Stardock realleg	ges and incorpora	tes herein by refer	ence its responses to Paragraphs
11	1 through 133	B above as if set for	orth in full.		
12	135.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 135 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
13	136.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 136 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
14	137.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 137 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
15					
16				SE OF ACTION	
17		-		n Act § 43(a) (15 U	
18 19	138.	Stardock realleg	ges and incorpora	tes herein by refer	ence its responses to Paragraphs
19 20	1 through 137	above as if set for	orth in full.		
20	139.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 139 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
22	140.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 140 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
23	141.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 141 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
24	142.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 142 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
25	143.	Stardock denies	the allegations in	n Paragraph 143 of	f the Amended Counterclaim.
26					
27					
28	4828-0352-8558.3			29	CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
				DANT'S ANSWER A ER-CLAIMANTS' A	ND AFFIRMATIVE MENDED COUNTERCLAIM

ĺ	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 30 of 36
1	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	(Common Law Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition)
3	144. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
4	1 through 143 above as if set forth in full.
5	145. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 145 of the Amended Counterclaim.
6	
7	146. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 146 of the Amended Counterclaim.
8	147. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 147 of the Amended Counterclaim.
9 10	148. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 148 of the Amended Counterclaim.
10 11	149. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 149 of the Amended Counterclaim.
11	150. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 150 of the Amended Counterclaim.
13	151. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 151 of the Amended Counterclaim.
14	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
15	(Unfair Competition (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.))
16	152. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
17	1 through 151 above as if set forth in full.
18	153. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 153 of the Amended Counterclaim.
19 20	154. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 154 of the Amended Counterclaim.
20	
22	155. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 155 of the Amended Counterclaim.
23	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
24	(Cancellation of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,046,036)
25	156. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
26	1 through 155 above as if set forth in full.
27	
28	30 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 31 of 36
1	157. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 157 of the Amended Counterclaim.
2 3	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3 4	(Conversion)
5	158. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
6	1 through 157 above as if set forth in full.
7	159. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 159 of the Amended Counterclaim.
8	
9	160. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 160 of the Amended Counterclaim.
10	161. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 161 of the Amended Counterclaim.
11	162. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 162 of the Amended Counterclaim.
12	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
13	(Declaratory Judgment re: Trademark Rights)
14	163. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
15	
16	1 through 162 above as if set forth in full.
17	164. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 164 of the Amended Counterclaim.
18	165. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 165 of the Amended Counterclaim.
19	166. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 166 of the Amended Counterclaim.
20	167. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 167 of the Amended Counterclaim.
21 22	168. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 168 of the Amended Counterclaim.
	169. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 169 of the Amended Counterclaim.
	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
	(Fraud)
27	170. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs
21	31 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
28	
 23 24 25 26 27 	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Fraud) 170. Stardock realleges and incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraph

Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 32 of 36

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Flied 07/30/18 Page 32 01 36				
1	1 through 169 above as if set forth in full.				
2	171. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 171 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
3	172. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 172 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
4	173. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 173 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
5	174. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 174 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
6 7	175. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 175 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
8	176. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 176 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
9	177. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 177 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
10	178. Stardock denies the allegations in Paragraph 178 of the Amended Counterclaim.				
11					
12	RESPONSE TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
13	To the extent that this section requires a response, Stardock denies that Defendants are				
14	entitled to any relief whatsoever from any of the claims alleged in their purported Amended				
15	Counterclaim, including any of the relief alleged and listed in the Amended Counterclaim's				
16 17	Prayer for Relief.				
17 18	GENERAL DENIAL				
19	Stardock further denies each and every allegation in the Amended Counterclaim that is not				
20	specifically admitted, denied, or otherwise responded to in this Answer.				
21	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES				
22	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
23	Defendants fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.				
24	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
25 26	Defendants' claims are barred by the doctrines of estoppel, waiver and/or laches.				
26 27					
28					
	4828-0352-8558.3 32 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA				
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM				

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 33 of 36
1	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Defendants are not entitled to injunctive relief because, among other things, there is no risk
3	
4	of irreparable harm and money damages would be adequate.
5	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	One or more of Defendants' claims are barred by preemption.
7	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Defendants lack standing to bring one or more of their causes of action.
9	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	On information and belief, Defendants' copyright claims are barred and their claimed works
11	are not entitled to copyright protection because their copyrights and/or copyright registrations are
12	invalid and/or unenforceable.
13	
14	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	On information and belief, Defendants' copyright claims are barred because they are not
16	the rightful owners of the alleged copyrights.
17	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	On information and belief, Defendants' copyright claims are barred because the works
19	embodied in the relevant copyright registrations are not copyrightable.
20	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	Defendants' copyright claims are barred and Defendants trademark claims are limited
22	
23	because any alleged infringement was innocent and lacked intent.
24 25	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25 26	On information and belief, Defendants' trademark and related claims are barred because
26 27	they are based on trademark rights that Defendants do not own.
27 28	
28	4828-0352-8558.3 33 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 34 of 36
1	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Defendants' trademark and related claims are barred because the alleged infringing use was
3	not as a source identifier.
4	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	Defendants' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Stardock had a license to use
6	the claimed copyrights and/or trademark rights.
7	
8	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	Defendants' claims are barred, in whole or in part, in that Defendants authorized, consented
10	to, and/or acquiesced in Stardock's alleged actions.
11	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12 13	On information and belief, Defendants' alleged copyright claims are barred under 17 U.S.C.
13 14	§ 411 and this Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over such claims in that Defendants failed to
15	file for and/or obtain a copyright registrations for at least some of the claimed copyrights before
16	filing the Amended Counterclaim.
17	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	Defendants' remedies for its copyright claims are limited under 17 U.S.C. § 412 in that it
19	failed to obtain a copyright registrations within three months after the first publication of the work.
20	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	
22	Defendants' copyright claims are barred by the doctrine of fair use.
23	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	Defendants' trademark claims are barred by the doctrine of fair use.
25	
26	
27	
28	34 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 35 of 36
1	
1	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Defendants' copyright claims are barred by the merger doctrine, and therefore Defendants
3	cannot establish ownership of any valid and enforceable copyrights and infringement of any such
4 5	alleged copyrights.
6	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	Defendants' copyright claims are barred by scenes à faire, and therefore Defendants cannot
8	establish ownership of any valid and enforceable copyrights and infringement of any such alleged
9	copyrights.
10	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	Defendants' copyright claims are barred by de minimis use.
12	TWENTY FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	Defendants fail to state their fraud claim with particularity, as required by Rule 9(b) of the
14 15	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
16	TWENTY SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	Defendants' fraud claim is time barred and due to be dismissed.
18	TWENTY THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	Defendants' declaratory judgment re: trademark rights claim is barred because they are not
20	
21	the rightful owners of the alleged trademarks.
22	TWENTY FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	Defendants' declaratory judgment re: trademark rights claim is barred because Plaintiff has
24	prior rights in and to the alleged trademarks.
25	TWENTY FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	Defendants' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.
27	
28	4828-0352-8558.3 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

	Case 4:17-cv-07025-SBA Document 54 Filed 07/30/18 Page 36 of 36
1	ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	Stardock reserves the right to supplement its affirmative defenses as discovery progresses
3	and additional information becomes available.
4	JURY DEMAND
5	Stardock demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
6	Stardook domands a thar by fary on an issues so thable.
7 8	Dated: July 30, 2018 Respectfully submitted,
o 9	
9 10	NIXON PEABODY LLP
10	By: /s/ Robert A. Weikert
12	Robert A. Weikert (Bar No. 121146)
13	<u>rweikert@nixonpeabody.com</u> Dawn N. Valentine (Bar No. 206486)
14	dvalentine@nixonpeabody.com NIXON PEABODY LLP One Embarcadero Center
15	San Francisco, California 94111-3600 Tel: (415) 984-8200
16	Fax: (415) 984-8300
17	David L. May (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) dmay@nixonpeabody.com
18	Jennette E. Wiser (appearance pro hac vice) jwiser@nixonpeabody.com
19	NIXON PEABODY LLP 799 9th Street NW
20	Washington, DC 20001-4501 Tel: (202) 585-8000
21	Fax: (202) 585-8080
22	Attorneys for Stardock Systems, Inc.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4828-0352-8558.3 36 CASE NO. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA
	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANTS' AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM